



U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Office of the Director
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2600
Falls Church, Virginia 22041

NEWS RELEASE

Contact: Office of Legislative and Public Affairs
(703) 305-0289 Fax: (703) 605-0365
Internet: www.usdoj.gov/eoir/

July 26, 2006

EOIR Announces Latest Disciplinary Actions Under Rules of Professional Conduct *Five Attorneys Immediately Suspended; Three Receive Final Orders*

FALLS CHURCH, Va. – The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) has taken disciplinary action against eight attorneys after charging them with violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct for immigration practitioners. The Rules of Professional Conduct appear in Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations (8 CFR Parts 1003 and 1292). In most cases, the disciplinary action is initiated as a result of sanctions imposed by other jurisdictions or a criminal conviction.

Although the disciplinary process may vary according to the specific circumstances of each case, generally it includes the following steps:

Disciplinary proceedings begin with a Notice of Intent to Discipline filed with the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) by the Office of the General Counsel of either EOIR or U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security (DHS). The BIA requires the attorney to respond to the alleged violations. In some cases, a Petition for Immediate Suspension also is filed and the BIA orders the attorney to be suspended immediately from practice before the immigration courts, the BIA, and the DHS. (DHS joins EOIR in taking the disciplinary action.) Disciplined attorneys are directed to notify promptly, in writing, their clients with pending immigration cases that they may no longer represent them before the BIA, the immigration courts, or the DHS. Disciplined attorneys must petition and be reinstated by the BIA before they can resume the practice of law before the BIA, the immigration courts, and the DHS.

Immediate Suspension

The BIA ordered the immediate suspension of the following attorneys:

- **Mikre-Michael Ayele:** He was suspended from the practice of law for 1 year and 1 day, effective December 15, 2005, by the Virginia State Bar Disciplinary Board for failure to act with reasonable diligence, failure to communicate, and representing a client when the lawyer's physical or mental condition materially impairs his ability to represent the client. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on July 12, 2006, based on his suspension in Virginia, pending final disposition of his case.

(more)

- **Richard Paul Condon:** He was disbarred from the practice of law by the Supreme Court of Florida on June 5, 2006, for numerous violations of the state rules of professional conduct, including failure to act with reasonable diligence, failure to communicate, and engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on July 19, 2006, based on his disbarment in Florida, pending final disposition of his case.
- **Allen Ebert:** He was disbarred from the practice of law by the District of Columbia Court of Appeals on May 25, 2006, for violating the rules of professional conduct. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on July 12, 2006, based on his disbarment in Washington, D.C., pending final disposition of his case.
- **Rickey A. Watson:** He was suspended from the practice of law for 12 months, effective May 15, 2006, by the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 164th Judicial District, for numerous violations of the state rules of professional conduct, including neglect, failure to communicate, and permitting a non-lawyer's conduct to be in violation of the rules. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on July 12, 2006, based on his suspension in Texas, pending final disposition of his case.
- **Milton Gary Wolf:** He voluntarily resigned from the practice of law after being convicted of grand theft by the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles. He was immediately suspended by the BIA on July 19, 2006, based on his criminal conviction in California and voluntary resignation, pending final disposition of his case.

Final Orders of Discipline

The BIA issued a Final Order of Discipline against the following attorneys:

- **Luis Alberto Flores:** A final order of July 19, 2006, suspends him indefinitely from practice before immigration tribunals, effective June 12, 2006, based on his criminal conviction in New Jersey for conspiracy to commit money laundering, money laundering, and conspiracy to structure transactions, and his indefinite suspension in New York.
- **Karen Jaffe:** A final order of July 6, 2006, suspends her from practice before immigration tribunals for 30 days, effective June 12, 2006, based on her suspension by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit for making false statements to the court.
- **Kishan Khanna:** A final order of July 19, 2006, suspends him from practice before immigration tribunals for 9 months, effective May 19, 2006, based on his suspension in Colorado for engaging in the unauthorized practice of law.

(more)

Background

The Rules of Professional Conduct include provisions specifying grounds for disciplinary action, requirements for receiving and investigating complaints, and procedures for conducting hearings. The rules also authorize the BIA to suspend immediately a practitioner who has been subject to disbarment, suspension, or resignation with an admission of misconduct as imposed by a federal or state court, or who has been convicted of a serious crime, pending a summary proceeding and final sanction. They are available on the EOIR website at http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/vll/fedreg/2000_2001/fr27jn00R.pdf.

EOIR announces these disciplinary actions to inform the public about practitioners who are not authorized to represent clients in any matter before an immigration tribunal. EOIR also posts a “List of Disciplined Practitioners” on its website at <http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/profcond/chart.htm>. In an effort to provide more detailed information about individual attorney discipline cases, every new immediate suspension or final order issued beginning June 27, 2005, can be accessed through this list by clicking on the highlighted “date” link. Links to older orders, issued since July 2000, will be included gradually.

Additional information about the Attorney Discipline Program can be found at <http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/press/00/profcond.htm> and <http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/press/00/profcondfaks.htm> on the EOIR website.

– EOIR –

EOIR is responsible for adjudicating immigration cases. Specifically, under delegated authority from the Attorney General, EOIR interprets and administers federal immigration laws by conducting immigration court proceedings, appellate reviews, and administrative hearings. EOIR consists of three components: the Office of the Chief Immigration Judge, which is responsible for managing the numerous immigration courts located throughout the United States where immigration judges adjudicate individual cases; the Board of Immigration Appeals, which primarily conducts appellate reviews of immigration judge decisions; and the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer, which adjudicates immigration-related employment cases. EOIR is committed to providing fair, expeditious, and uniform application of the nation's immigration laws in all cases.

Information about EOIR immigration proceedings is available on EOIR's website <http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/press/subject.htm>.